# Introduction (Ullman):

- 1. Center for the Inland Bays
  - Develop management policies to control "nutrient leakage" from land to the Inland Bays
  - b. Challenge is to maintain or improve surface water quality and ecology of the Inland Bays despite population increases in the watershed and intensifying agriculture.
- 2. What can be feasibly accomplished in water and nutrient management to meet Center goals?
- 3. Can nutrient leakage be controlled by collaboration across the agricultural, water supply, wastewater, and environmental sectors?
- 4. Given what is possible and practical, how can we get better nutrient and water management practices?

# Question 1: How can the State of Delaware best use its water and nutrient resources (and maintain/improve its environmental quality)?

- 1. Nutrients are a **resource** and should not always be treated as a waste material
  - a. Recycle, reuse, repurpose
  - b. How does local demand for nutrient resources compare with nutrient availability?
  - c. What are the actual nutrient flows into and out of wastewater systems, agricultural fields, aquifers, streams, estuaries.
    - Data needed to determine feasibility of alternative nutrient management strategies
    - ii. May serve as a basis for assessing environmental costs and benefits
- 2. **Seasonality** of wastewater flow, nutrient removal effectiveness, nutrient concentrations, and agricultural needs need to be incorporated into wastewater treatment and disposal technology and regulation.
  - a. Storage, including possible recharge and recovery may be a useful approach to deal with seasonality.
  - b. Wastewater providers need flexibility in handling their wastewater and associated nutrient loads.
    - i. Seasonal flexibility
    - ii. Emergency management
  - c. Agricultural irrigation should target areas and plumes of high nutrient water and nutrient in irrigation water should be included in agricultural nutrient management plans.
  - d. Possible alternative uses for storage lagoons (floating solar panels)?
  - e. Can wastewater processing be adjusted throughout the year to better remove nutrients or to make a product of more interest to agricultural users?
- 3. Wastewater providers should get *credit* for nutrients recycled to agricultural spray on demand systems.
  - a. This would require that agricultural nutrient management include nutrients in irrigation water as part of their management accounting.
  - If nutrients in irrigation groundwater are used as part of management plan, this use should be documented in management plans and nutrient accounting.
  - c. Wastewater and agricultural providers should get credit for reductions in nutrient import into Delaware.

- d. Nutrient trading between sectors should be allowed/encouraged to minimize loads to the environment.
- Rapid infiltration beds (RIBs) and/or surface water discharge will always be needed during periods when agricultural irrigation in not needed or in emergencies.
  - a. Are RIBS really a good alternative to seasonal surface water discharge?
  - b. Should surface water discharge be permitted at some level during winter months?
- 5. State revolving funds should be used for **pilot studies** and implementation planning of alternative reuse of wastewater and associated nutrients.
- 6. As long as **population continues to increase**, even with better wastewater management, wastewater flow and nutrient loads will increase. The lack of an effective growth plan will limit effectiveness of the best wastewater management.
  - a. The State needs to have input on county land use planning in order to effectively manage wastewater disposal and surface water quality.
  - b. The agency controlling land use should have to cover infrastructure costs, including water, wastewater, nutrients, schools, roads, etc...
  - c. Long range goal should be to preserve the character of the local environment
    - i. The character is what brought people to Delaware
    - ii. Destruction of the character may cause people to leave
  - d. When large and effective wastewater treatment is available in a region, all domestic effluent streams should be required to "hook up."
    - i. Benefits to the environment
    - ii. Benefits to the wastewater provider (either governmental or provider)
    - iii. Subsidies may be useful to encourage hookup.
- 7. Would separate **gray-water disposal** systems reduce volume of wastewater needing treatment and the ultimate nutrient loads to the environment?
  - a. How much difference would this make to actual nutrient loads to the environment?
- 8. Management is by **watershed**; Existing nutrient loads should be managed by watershed.
  - a. For all watershed segments, mass balances of nutrients and water should be established and used in management.
  - b. Nutrient loads should be expressed in common units
  - c. Nutrient loads from agriculture and wastewater sources should be expressed as a fraction of the TMDL for a particular segment of the water and not just as a direct load.

- i. From each watershed, actual loads from individual domestic systems, community systems, regional systems, agriculture, non-agricultural gardening practices and rainfall should be documented or estimated so that relative impact is known.
- 9. **Irrigation/Fertigation/Sludge Disposal** has agricultural benefits and wastewater benefits, but is it good for the environment?
  - a. Under what conditions are nutrients in irrigation water useful?
  - b. What crops are best suited for spray irrigation/spray on demand fertigation?
    - i. Can nutrient release to the environment be regulated by farm practices?
  - c. Can biosolids from wastewater be combined with other local waste nutrient materials (chicken litter, septic biosolids) to make a more balanced fertilizer (i.e. nutrient ratios similar to plant uptake ratios)?
    - i. Can management and processing of waste biosolids and chicken litter be improved to benefit agricultural uses.
  - d. Preference should be given to agriculture preservation lands in the use of waste nutrients for fertilization and fertigation.
- 10. **Siting** of wastewater plants should be consistent with long-term land-use planning
  - Development zones should have designated areas for wastewater processing and disposal
  - b. Development outside of designated development zones should require a higher level of scrutiny
    - i. Developers held to a higher standard
    - ii. Developers cover costs of infrastructure ("adequate public facilities")

# Question 2: What are the opportunities?

- 1. Agricultural management to take advantage of wastewater nutrient availability
  - a. Best Crops
  - b. Best Fertilization Practices
  - c. Better timing of irrigation/fertilization/fertigation.
  - d. Treatment of wastewater for irrigation rather than for release to the environment
    - i. Need to process to provide a product with balanced amounts of water, nitrogen, and phosphorus
    - ii. Possibility of mixing waters from sources with different compositions to get a "more balanced" product
    - iii. May not be possible to get a perfectly balanced wastewater product to meet all agricultural needs
- 2. **Monitoring** of the success of agricultural nutrient management
  - a. Actual practices may vary and the most successful practices should be replicated, where possible
  - b. Best practice scenarios
    - i. Combining environmental, agricultural, and financial goals

# 3. Storage

- a. Standards for storage ponds
- b. Standards for artificial recharge and storage of wastewater effluents in aquifers and agricultural reuse.
- 4. Use Total Maximum Daily Load requirements to **reduce development** and retain the agricultural character of the rural regions of Delaware.
- 5. Put **costs** into the planning process
- 6. Identify regions or high nutrients in groundwater and target these areas for **groundwater mining** for spray irrigation and fertigation.
- 7. Incorporate **energy cost** scenarios into wastewater disposal planning.
  - a. Need to do this now for the future.
- 8. Regional planning of wastewater disposal and water/nutrient reuse.
  - a. Local knowledge
  - b. Local geology/hydrology
- 9. **Demonstration projects** for nutrient reuse
  - a. Wastewater
  - b. Biosolids processing and reuse

# Question 3: What next? What can we do with what we know?

- 1. Alternative nutrient controls
  - a. Reduce nutrients at source
  - b. Separate gray water from wastewater
- 2. Pilot and demonstration projects for spray-on-demand irrigation
  - a. Funding by Clean Water Council
  - b. Demonstrate flexible used of disposal alternatives
  - c. Establish criteria for effective use of spray-on-demand technology
  - d. Determine the real costs of spray-on-demand and cost sharing strategies consistent with benefits
- Set up spray irrigation districts with multiple farms and a variety of crop and crop rotation strategies to maximize nutrient reutilization at minimum infrastructural costs.
- 4. Impact fees needed to support environmental quality
  - a. Fees should encourage development in existing development corridors
  - b. Outside of development corridors, fees should be higher as costs are higher
- 5. Cradle to grave nutrient accounting
  - a. To reduce nutrient release to environment
  - b. To test effectiveness of processing and regulation
  - c. Include groundwater sources and sinks in nutrient accounting
  - d. Compare effectiveness of all wastewater disposal techniques on the same basis
- Form a new Grand Old Pee and Poo Party (GOPPP) to insist that wastewater and environmental planning become a fundamental part of development and land-use planning.

Question 4: How should performance standards be changed, derived, or developed to best utilize water nutrient resources? (Original Question: How can environmental goals be achieved when large wastewater treatment facilities are consistently unable to meet performance standards?)

## 1. Seasonality:

- Use flow-weighted average annual concentrations to determine performance standards based on loads to adjust for seasonal variation
  - i. Base compliance on a different period of time (two year average or exclude critical periods)
- b. Account for seasonal variations in treatment efficiency (cool weather, less treatment; warm weather, more treatment)
- c. Account for natural bioremediation in the environment in performance standards
  - i. Stream discharge =0; RIB = some; dedicated irrigation = some more; irrigation on demand =most
- d. Use wastewater storage as a means to provide greater nutrient use by crops, thereby requiring less nutrient removal by treatment

# 2. Trading/Offsets: e.g.

- a. Holistic approach needed: performance standards should be developed with consideration of other programs (e.g. stormwater, agriculture, etc.)
- Goals should be to minimize nutrient imports to watershed and leakage to the environment: Allow experimentation to meet these goals
- c. Set both goals (more stringent) and limits (less stringent)
  - i. Reward achievement of goals
- d. Direct credit for real reduction of other (non-wastewater) or other types of wastewater loads
- e. Pollution Control Standards are irrelevant: Let farmers use all the nutrients and basic filtration and disinfection.

# 3. Flexibility:

- a. Allow lower level of treatment during periods when wastewater can be used for agricultural application
- b. Develop regulation language that would allow loads to the environment that are equivalent to 3 mg/L TN and 0.2 mg/L TP (or some other standards) discharged directly to surface waters, based on reasonable assumptions or actual determination of nutrient removal by plants, soils, and aquifers
- c. Interconnect wastewater systems with alternative processing and disposal means.

- d. Facilitate reuse and recycling nutrients as opposed to disposal (RIBs)
- e. Allow wastewater processor determine how to achieve standards based on a variety of disposal methods

#### 4. Economics:

- a. Cost/benefit analysis should be considered during Performance Standard development
- b. Statewide standard vs basin/watershed standard?
  - i. Which is best?
  - ii. Which can be better managed?
- c. Evaluate all nutrient contributors to the environment equitably
  - Costs of TMDLs should not fall exclusively on wastewater processors
  - ii. Costs for agriculture and domestic septic users, based on their contribution of nutrients to the environment should be used to offset centralized wastewater infrastructure and disposal costs
- d. Performance standards should take into account all sources of nutrients loss from each utility.
- e. Nutrients be taxed on a cost per pound of N and P to subsidize environmental remediation
- f. Cost benefit analysis of moving from  $10 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3$  mg/L standard
- g. Ag nutrient management plans + reports should be public
- h. Energy costs should be a component of wastewater planning

## 5. **Loads**: e.g.

- a. Evaluate nutrient loading primarily on a mass balance basis instead of a concentration basis
  - i. Exception for drinking water standards, where they exist.
  - ii. Concentration standards should be met at point of discharge or pumping (well)
  - iii. Can be directly compared to TMDLs
- b. Require that performance standards be developed based on the current and possible projected loads to receiving water bodies.
- c. Integrate wastewater treatment loads with agricultural loads for assessment
- 6. Performance Standards Balance/Impacts of Performance Standards
  - a. Performance standards should be attainable
  - b. Performance standards should be based on best available technology and local environmental conditions
  - c. Standards should not push back large systems to small.
  - d. Water/nutrient mass balance and discharge sceanarios must be developed during permit application
    - i. Sets goals
    - ii. Sets standards to which performance can be assessed

#### 7. Site Specific:

a. Systematic assessment of status and trends w/ independent review

- b. Impact of performance standards on ecosystem
- c. Demonstration that changes in loads leads to environmental change
- d. Better water use records, especially irrigation
- e. Allow for consideration for site specific conditions in setting performance standards and assessing performance
- f. Watershed based
- g. Performance standard expressed as percentage of TMDL
- h. Define "equivalent" performance standards for Spray/RIB/Surface Discharge loads
- i. Analysis of treatment technology to meet/define performance standards

### 8. Land Use:

- a. Brief/Educate elected officials regularly
- b. Regional planning/account in County Land Use Process
- 9. **Storage** should be encouraged to achieve performance standards and to allow for timely reuse and recycling.

# General Themes from Question 4:

- Spray irrigation capacity is being added to existing facilities. New facilities are proposing RIBS. Funding or other assistance might promote spray irrigation over RIBS
- Irrigation on Demand allows for some reuse and recycling; net effect may be difficult to ascertain as additional nutrients will be added above wastewater to meet crop demand
- Mining groundwater nitrate study (for spray irrigation and to eliminate high nutrient plumes)
- Land use policy debate should drive nutrient policy
- Storage of wastewater is a cost of development and effective agricultural irrigation and costs of storage should be spread across all sectors
  - Possible storage pond benefits
    - Aesthetics
    - Golf courses
    - Not a wetland
- Private utilities use impact fees when serving growth, why not government?
- Storage to allow choices of disposal.
- Are "emerging contaminants" really a concern?
- Easier to site drinking water intakes after considering wastewater outlows that siting wastewater facilities in response to existing drinking water intakes
- What are the energy costs of alternative wastewater treatment and disposal methodologies now and in the future?
- What is the scientific basis for the current performance standards?
  - o Can one standard be developed for all disposal methods?
  - o How can the performance standards be related to environmental goals?
  - Should performance standards be related to population being served?
    - Load per equivalent dwelling unit
    - Allows for adjustment as service area/population changes